	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/889,193	YAGUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Howard Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 February 2004	Time: <u>9:45 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Claims 6, 9 and 11.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 6, 9 and 11 will be canceled.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Λ		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)